APPENDIX

Is Salicylic Acid the Key to Cost-Effectively Scaling Biochar on Drought Prone Lands

By George Kim Chaffee, MS
October 21, 2025

Research Paper #2: Another Recent Research Paper with Similar Results

In September 2024 a peer reviewed research paper in *Nature*, entitled "Synergistic effect of salicylic acid and biochar on biochemical properties, yield and nutrient uptake of triticale under water stress" was published. This paper was by another group of three Iranian researchers. It compared results from irrigated and non-irrigated triticale pots, using three different agricultural waste biochar feedstocks— cotton, wheat, and sesame. Other variables tested included phosphorus fertilizer (instead of biochar), and either no salicylic acid (0 mM SA) or 3 mM SA.

The first sentence of the Abstract reads, *"In arid regions, one of the practical solutions to overcome the water shortage and increas[e] soil fertility is the application of salicylic acid (SA) with biochar."*

In every case and by every metric, the yields in the trials with the SA exceeded the yields without the SA. That result applied to both the irrigated pots and the non-irrigated ones, although the differences were larger in the non-irrigated pots.

Quoting from Section 5, the Conclusion of the paper, "These results highlight the efficiency of SA combined with biochar as a suitable strategy for enhancing crop performance and productivity of triticale when exposed to water stress."

Google Scholar shows "about 605" research papers that contain the words "salicylic acid" "biochar" and "increased yield" in their titles and texts.

Research Paper #3: "Salicylic Acid and Biochar Effect on Growth, Growth Efficiency, Yield and Quality of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) under Rainfed Condition."

In a peer reviewed research paper by scientists from India, published by *EBSCO Information Services* in 2025, here is the abstract. The full paper is behind a paywall.

> Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is a vital pulse crop in India, cultivated across diverse agro-climatic regions with minimal inputs. However, its productivity often falls short of its potential due to its cultivation on marginal lands under rain-fed conditions.
>
> *Salicylic acid and biochar are recognized for their role in mitigating abiotic stress and enhancing soil health* were evaluated for their combined impact on chickpea productivity under such conditions. A factorial randomized block design was implemented in three replications with 12 treatment combinations including water spray, three concentrations of salicylic acid (50, 100 and 150 ppm) and three biochar levels (control, 1, and 2 t ha-1).
>
> Foliar applications of salicylic acid were performed at the flower initiation and pod-filling stages. The results demonstrated that the combined application of salicylic acid at 100 ppm and biochar at 2 t ha-1 enhanced the growth parameters, yield and quality attributes of chickpea compared to conventional practices. ***This study highlights the potential of integrating salicylic acid and biochar as sustainable approaches to enhance chickpea production, particularly in resource-constrained and rain-fed systems.***

Benefit/Cost Comparison of SA + Charged Biochar vs. Biochar Alone, Compost, Manure, and Green Manures

Research paper #1 above demonstrated that SA alone and the combination of biochar and "biofertilizers" alone were about equally effective in increasing fig fruit yield by about 100% over the four year period. When all three are combined, the yield improvement skyrocketed to 300%!

We are advocating that the most cost-effective approach is to combine all three methods—SA, biochar, and biofertilizers—which promotes synergies that would not otherwise occur. Which specific "biofertilizers" are used, whether they be compost, manure, green manure, beneficial fungi or bacteria (PGPRs, mycorrhiza), is probably not as important as that one or more of them also be included.

Under arid and semi-arid conditions, the combination of biochar plus foliar-applied salicylic acid (SA**)** offers higher potential for sustained crop yield improvements than biochar plus compost, manure, or green manures alone, albeit at a slightly greater upfront cost. Biochar improves the soil's lasting physical resilience, while SA delivers rapid drought stress tolerance at the plant level. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+2

Cost Comparison

Technique	Cost (USD/ha)	Notes
Biochar + Foliar SA	$60–$300 (biochar) + <$50 (SA) imarcgroup+1	SA cost mostly labor; biochar cost varies with source and rate sciencedirect
Compost	$20–$70	Transport and labor for region
Manure	$15–$50	Often local; handling costs
Green Manure	$20–$60	Seed/labor, variable by crop
Biochar Alone	$60–$300	One-time, durable effect pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih

Yield and Stress Benefit Comparison

- **Biochar + Salicylic Acid:**
  - Biochar increases soil water retention and overall resilience, benefiting crop yield especially in sandy, degraded, or drought-prone soils. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1
  - SA applied as a foliar spray triggers antioxidant response, osmolyte accumulation, and drought tolerance mechanisms in crops for immediate stress protection. adsabs.harvard
  - Field studies in semi-arid regions show cumulative yield gains 40–100%+ with high biochar rates and SA in drought, particularly after several seasons of buildup. adsabs.harvard+1
  - Long-lasting soil improvement from biochar ensures persistent yield benefits. sciencedirect+1
- **Compost/Manure:**
  - Rapidly boosts organic matter and some water holding, increases nutrient supply, supports moderate drought yield gains (20–40%). pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1
  - Benefits last months to a couple of years; less durable than biochar; best when combined with biochar. sciencedirect
- **Green Manures:**
  - Add root biomass and organic matter, short-term improvement in water infiltration and retention, small to moderate yield boost unless paired with other amendments. sciencedirect
  - Seasonal effects only, limited in persistent drought. sciencedirect
- **Biochar Alone:**
  - Cumulative yield effects up to 20–100% over several seasons in arid soils. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1

- Water holding and physical benefits persist for years/decades, though biochemical stress protection from SA is absent. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih

Summary Table

Technique	Yield Boost (Arid/Semi-Arid)	Water Holding	Duration	Cost/ha	Limitation
Biochar+SA	High (up to 104%)adsabs.harvard+2	High	Years/Decades	$60–$300 + <$50	Biochar cost/logistics
Compost	Moderate (~20–40%)pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih	Moderate	Months–Years	$20–$70	Short-lived, nutrient-driven
Manure	Moderate	Moderate	Months–Years	$15–$50	Pathogens, leach risk
Green Manure	Small–Moderate	Moderate	Seasonal	$20–$60	Limited drought persistence
Biochar Alone	High (20–100% after aging)pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih	High	Years/Decades	$60–$300	No rapid stress boost

Key Takeaways

- **Biochar plus foliar SA** is especially recommended where maximizing crop yield stability under persistent drought and poor soils is required—combining rapid plant drought hardening and durable soil physical improvement. adsabs.harvard+1
- Other amendments improve yields, water holding, and plant health cost-effectively, but their effects are less persistent and do not directly enhance rapid plant drought resilience or antioxidant response like the SA-biochar combo. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1
- **Best results** come from combining strategies: biochar for soil structure, compost/manure for nutrients, green manures for root mass, and SA for immediate drought-induced stress mitigation. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+2

In summary: In arid and semi-arid systems, biochar plus foliar-applied salicylic acid provides the highest, longest-term drought yield benefit, with higher initial costs, but much greater crop resilience and soil improvement than compost, manure, green manures, or biochar alone. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+3

1. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11167906/
2. https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2025JSSPN.tmp..430T/abstract
3. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10821463/
4. https://www.imarcgroup.com/salicylic-acid-pricing-report
5. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0147651322012489
6. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0929139316304954
7. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12261684/
8. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2095311925000851
9. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352186424002517
10. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23311932.2024.2432441
11. https://acsess.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/saj2.20683

Benefit/Comparison of SA + Biochar vs. Plant Growth Regulators

Under arid and semi-arid conditions, biochar plus foliar-applied salicylic acid (SA) generally provides both physical soil and physiological plant resilience, often surpassing abscisic acid (ABA), jasmonic acid (JA), or brassinosteroids (BRs) alone in terms of cost-effectiveness and long-term impact, though each technique has its own advantages for specific stresses and crops. sciencedirect+3

Cost Comparison

Technique	Typical Cost (USD/ha)	Duration/Effect	Notes
Biochar + SA	$60–$300 (biochar) + <$50 (SA)imarcgroup+1	Multi-year	Biochar cost upfront but effect lasts; SA is extremely cheap per hectare
ABA (foliar)	$20–$80 nature	Days–weeks	Commercial products, repeat applications
JA (foliar)	$25–$110 cropj	Days–weeks	Methyl jasmonate/JA, repeat applications for season-long
BRs (foliar)	$20–$120 pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih	Days–weeks	Epi-brassinolide/Bs, repeat needed; effect depends on dose

Stress Resilience and Crop Yield Benefits

Biochar + Salicylic Acid

- **Biochar** greatly increases water holding, soil aggregation, and root development, buffering drought impacts for multiple seasons. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1
- **Salicylic acid** (foliar spray, 1–2 mM) activates plant antioxidant defense, osmotic adjustment, and enhances leaf water status, yielding up to 40–100% crop yield increase under drought, especially when combined with biochar. sciencedirect
- **Benefits:** Physical (soil) and biochemical (plant) drought protection; investment persists for years; best under persistent drought and poor soils. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1

Abscisic Acid (ABA)

- ABA is the master drought stress hormone, mediating stomatal closure and osmoprotection. Foliar ABA boosts drought resilience, wheat yield by 27%, and maintains leaf water status short-term. nature

- **Benefits:** Rapid physiological drought protection; effect is short-lived, requiring repeated applications each dry spell or critical growth stage. nature

Jasmonic Acid (JA)

- JA improves plant defense against abiotic (drought, salt) and biotic stress, helps root development, and antioxidant capacity. When used in arid regions, it boosts tolerance to environmental stresses, but its effect is less durable than biochar's soil improvement. cropj+1
- **Benefits:** Supports plant stress acclimation; needs repeated dose; effect is transient. cropj

Brassinosteroids (BRs)

- BRs enhance plant growth, cell structure, osmoregulation, and drought resistance, modulate antioxidant responses, and can improve yield under various stresses. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih
- **Benefits:** Multi-stress protection; rapid but short-lived effects; practical for greenhouse/ag specialty uses; field effect requires repeated applications. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih

Summary Table

Technique	Yield Boost (Arid/ Semi-Arid)	Duration	Cost/ha	Key Strength	Limitation
Biochar+SA	High (40–100%) sciencedirect	Years/ Decades	$60–$300 + <$50	Soil + plant resilience	Upfront cost/ logistics
ABA	Moderate–High (up to 27%) nature	Weeks	$20–$80	Rapid drought boost	Transient, re-application
JA	Moderate	Weeks	$25–$110	Multi-stress protection	Seasonal, expensive
BRs	Moderate–High	Weeks	$20–$120	Growth + stress modulator	Repeat, specialty use

Key Takeaways

- **Biochar plus SA** gives unique dual protection: biochar persists in soil, while SA enhances plant drought tolerance seasonally—especially valuable for long-term yield stability in arid lands. sciencedirect+1
- **Growth regulators** like ABA, JA, or BRs offer affordable, rapid, and targeted stress resilience, but require regular re-application and address plant-level stress—not soil physical limitations. cropj+2
- **For sustained yield improvement:** The biochar+SA approach is more cost-effective over time and delivers greater overall resilience; ABA/JA/BRs are best used for acute drought events or to supplement long-term strategies. nature+2

In summary: In arid and semi-arid cropping systems, biochar plus foliar salicylic acid maximizes sustainable yield and resilience, with upfront cost balanced by persistent benefits; ABA, JA, and BRs grant rapid but short-lived stress tolerance, at lower operational cost but needing routine application. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+4

12. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844024027087
13. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9760838/
14. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-71404-4
15. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10401277/
16. https://www.imarcgroup.com/salicylic-acid-pricing-report
17. https://cropj.com/javid_5_6_2011_726_734.pdf
18. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6813250/
19. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0098847224003009
20. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9269229/
21. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.3c06233
22. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/environmental-science/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2022.962581/full

Benefit/Cost Comparison of SA + Charged Biochar vs. Microbial Inoculants (Beneficial Bacteria and Fungi) Alone

Combining **salicylic acid combined with inoculated biochar** generally produces greater improvements in plant stress tolerance and nutrient uptake than using beneficial fungi (like mycorrhizae) and bacteria (PGPR) alone, especially under stress conditions such as drought or low fertility. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+2

Effects of Salicylic Acid with Biochar

- Co-application of **salicylic acid (SA) with biochar** promotes physiological and biochemical improvements under water stress, including higher chlorophyll, carotenoid content, catalase activity, and relative water content, as well as greater nutrient availability (N, P, K). pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih+2
- This combination increases grain yield (e.g., triticale under drought), enhances enzyme activities, and improves remobilization of nutrients —showing measurable gains over biochar or SA alone. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1
- **Synergistic effects** have been noted, where biochar's improvement of soil physical properties enhances SA's stress-mitigating actions in plants. sciencedirect

PGPR and Mycorrhizal Inoculants Alone

- **PGPRs (plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria)** and **arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF)** significantly boost stress tolerance by improving nutrient (notably P and N) and water uptake, increasing enzymatic antioxidant defense, and elevating soil microbial biomass. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+5
- Mycorrhizal inoculation with or without biochar increases phosphorus uptake, drought resilience, and root colonization, but the response strength can vary by species and plant. imafungus.pensoft+4
- These microbes are particularly effective at boosting long-term soil health and resilience, especially when soil biodiversity is low.

Comparisons and Synergy

- **Combining biochar with PGPR or mycorrhizae** leads to enhanced plant performance versus either alone, due to improvements in plant photosynthetic activity, oxidative stress reduction, and soil nutrient cycling. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+3
- Adding **salicylic acid to these systems** can further enhance stress tolerance and micronutrient uptake; studies show that biochar+SA and PGPR (or AMF) co-application outperform single treatments for drought or heavy metal stress. frontiersin+1
- Some research notes that **SA can improve AMF symbiosis**, enhancing its stress-mitigation capacity. imafungus.pensoft
- Under severe abiotic stress, **multi-strain or compound approaches** (biochar+SA+PGPR or mycorrhiza) deliver the highest overall gains, especially for nutrient uptake and stress enzyme activity. tandfonline+2

Summary Table

Treatment	Stress Tolerance	Nutrient Uptake	Enzyme Activity	Comments
SA + Biochar	High pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1	High pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1	High pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih	Synergistic under drought
PGPR or Mycorrhiza alone	Moderate nature+1	Moderate-High sciencedirect+1	Moderate nature	Strong for P, N; variable by strain
Biochar + PGPR/AMF	High pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+2	High pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+2	High pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1	Most effective for resilience
SA + PGPR/AMF + Biochar	Highest frontiersin+1	Highest frontiersin+1	Highest frontiersin	Optimal for combined stresses

Key Takeaways

- **SA with inoculated biochar** outperforms PGPR/mycorrhiza alone for drought or salinity stress, due to combined biochemical and microbial effects. frontiersin+2
- **Best results** come from multilayer strategies—combining SA, biochar, and beneficial microbes, especially under severe abiotic stress. tandfonline+1
- **Microbial inoculants** remain crucial for soil health and long-term fertility; their synergy with SA and biochar is widely supported in current research. sciencedirect+1

23. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11408057/
24. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39296113/
25. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667064X24000770
26. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10490045/
27. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667064X25002349
28. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-024-64878-9
29. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11514766/
30. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8307178/
31. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15226514.2025.2485302?src=
32. https://imafungus.pensoft.net/article/144989/download/pdf/1287407
33. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667064X24001155
34. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00103624.2025.2551356?ai=z6&mi=jtwdyq&af=R
35. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-85633-4
36. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02507/full
37. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.2c02976
38. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S221334372402503X
39. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844024132355
40. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-023-46487-0
41. https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/nph.15042

Benefit/Cost Comparison Between SA + Inoculated Biochar vs. Conservation Agriculture

The combination of salicylic acid and inoculated biochar offers a highly targeted and synergistic approach to improving water use efficiency and soil health, while conservation agriculture provides a more holistic, long-term, and system-based solution. Both have distinct benefits and costs.

Salicylic Acid and Inoculated Biochar

This approach involves the application of specific, manufactured or treated substances to the soil or plants.

Benefits:

- **Targeted and Rapid Response:** Salicylic acid (SA) is a plant hormone that, when applied, directly triggers physiological responses. It helps plants cope with environmental stressors like drought and heat by regulating stomatal movement, enhancing nutrient uptake, and boosting antioxidant defenses. This can lead to a quick improvement in plant resilience and yield under stressed conditions.

Feature	Salicylic Acid + Inoculated Biochar	Conservation Agriculture (CA)
Mechanism	Enhances plant physiology and provides direct soil amendments.	Improves soil structure and ecosystem function through a system of practices.
Scale	Can be applied to specific crops or fields.	A system-wide approach affecting the entire farm ecosystem.
Costs	First biochar application is highest cost. Biochar is highly durable and may not require further applications. Annual SA application is very inexpensive.	High initial investment in knowledge and equipment, but lower long-term costs (labor, fuel).
Benefits	Fast-acting, direct impact on plant stress tolerance and major yield increases. Low recurring costs.	Slower, cumulative benefits to soil health, water retention, and long-term farm resilience.

Sustainability	Biochar provides a long-term carbon sink and is the leading method of removing CO2 from the atmosphere.	A fundamentally sustainable approach focused on building natural capital.

Conclusion:

For farmers in water-stressed areas, the choice between these two approaches depends on their specific needs and resources.

- **Salicylic acid plus inoculated biochar** is a powerful, cost-effective intervention for abiotic and biotic stressors, such as drought and heat. It can provide a quick and prolonged boost to crop resilience and yield. Biochar can last for centuries and reapplication is optional, while SA is very low cost and would normally be applied once a year, either as a foliar or as an addition to irrigation water for long term protection.
- **Conservation agriculture**, on the other hand, is a long-term, systemic strategy. While it may require a higher initial investment in knowledge and time, its cumulative benefits in terms of improved soil health, reduced input costs, and greater long-term farm resilience are substantial.

Ultimately, the two approaches are not mutually exclusive and could be complementary. A farmer could use conservation agriculture as a foundation for long-term sustainability while selectively applying salicylic acid and biochar to specific crops or during critical periods of drought to maximize yield and mitigate risk.

Benefit/Cost Comparison Between SA + Inoculated Biochar vs. Precision Irrigation and Fertigation

Precision irrigation and fertigation offer superior water and nutrient efficiency and yield gains, but with high upfront costs, while the combination of **very dilute salicylic acid (SA) and charged biochar** provides cost-effective crop resilience and yield benefits under drought, leveraging stress tolerance at lower operational expense. hpj+2

Precision Irrigation and Fertigation

- Precision irrigation achieves up to **50% water savings**, boosts yields by **20–30%**, and maximizes resource efficiency by targeting water and nutrients to plant needs, often via automated irrigation-control systems. farmhq+2
- Fertigation—synchronized nutrient delivery—further reduces water and fertilizer input and improves uptake, increasing crop growth and quality, and reducing labor and environmental impact. wiseconn+1
- Initial costs are high ($20,000–$45,000 typical for system installation), but returns usually come in 2–3 seasons through operational cost reductions, higher yield, improved quality, and lower disease/weed pressure. blacksirrigation
- Maintenance and operational expertise are required, but subsidies and government programs often offset initial costs, especially in drought-prone regions. hpj

Salicylic Acid and Charged Biochar

- Foliar or irrigation-applied **low-concentration SA** significantly improves drought stress tolerance, physiological health, yield, and water use efficiency, with foliar application showing the best results. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1
- **Charged biochar** enhances soil health, nutrient retention, moisture holding, and microbial activity, counteracting deficit irrigation's negative effects and improving yield (reported gains of up to **300%** in arid trials).
- The combination of SA + biochar shows **synergistic effects** on plant antioxidant activity, chlorophyll levels, and remobilization efficiency, supporting plant resilience and yield under severe water stress. jofmp.areeo+2
- Upfront costs are much lower than high-tech irrigation systems, consisting mainly of material purchase and application labor, with biochar rates of 5–30 t/ha and SA at 0.5–3 mM annually. jofmp.areeo+1

Comparative Cost and Benefit Table

System	Upfront Cost (per ha)	Annual Cost	Typical Yield Gain	Key Benefits
Precision Irrigation	$20,000–$45,000 blacksirrigatio	Reduced usage	20–30% farmonaut+1	Water/fertilizer savings, disease/weed reduction
Fertigation	Similar to above	Reduced labor wiseconn+1	Up to 33% edis.ifas.ufl	High nutrient uptake, labor savings
SA + Biochar	$500–$3000 frontiersin+1	Biochar+SA: ~$100–$400 pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih	Up to 300%	Stress resistance, soil health, long term results

Drought-Prone Region Suitability

- **Precision irrigation/fertigation** is best for growers with capital or financing, supporting high input/output, and suited to operations with technical capacity. blacksirrigation+1
- **SA + biochar** fits resource-limited contexts, enabling stress mitigation and improvements in soil and yield at notably lower cost; suitable for broad, extensified acreage or small farmers with limited resources. pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih+1

Conclusion

Precision irrigation and fertigation deliver yield increases and operational savings over time, but require substantial startup investment and training. They are mostly appropriate for use in developed countries with large farms and government support. Also, they depend on the continued availability of surface or ground water, which is rapidly being exhausted worldwide. https://www.propublica.org/article/water-aquifers-groundwater-rising-ocean-levels

The **SA and charged biochar** strategy provides resilient, cost-effective yield improvement for drought-prone agriculture without substantial capital inputs, making it highly accessible and sustainable for millions of smallholder farmers with limited resources. Finally, It also has the advantage of not requiring the use of surface or ground water for irrigation, which not be available in the future as climate change gathers momentum.

42. https://hpj.com/2025/08/19/farmers-can-thrive-while-navigating-through-water-supply-problems/
43. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11408057/
44. https://www.blacksirrigation.com/post/precision-irrigation
45. https://www.farmhq.com/blog/how-precision-irrigation-enhances-crop-quality/
46. https://farmonaut.com/precision-farming/revolutionizing-agriculture-how-precision-irrigation-technologies-boost-crop-yields-and-conserve-water-resources
47. https://wiseconn.com/smart-farming-with-fertigation-control-better-crop-management-made-easy/
48. https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/publication/HS1442
49. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10005395/
50. https://ispecjournal.com/index.php/ispecjas/article/view/571
51. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/sustainable-food-systems/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1528044/full
52. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666049024000070
53. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0045653520336560
54. https://jofmp.areeo.ac.ir/article_128294_471ea4b3df2a996cd1108cc1e61bc561.pdf
55. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10051334/
56. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772375523001491
57. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11598231/
58. https://geopard.tech/blog/role-of-precision-irrigation-methods-in-modern-farming/
59. https://extension.usu.edu/crops/research/fertigation-facts
60. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037837742200676X
61. https://www.verdi.ag/blog/precision-irrigation-needs-to-be-redefined-2024